1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
6	Plaintiff,
7	v. CASE NO: 1:03-CR-291 1:07-CR-267
8	TOBY T. STUDABAKER,
9	Defendant.
10	/
11	
12	* * * * REDACTED TRANSCRIPT SENTENCING HEARING
13	* * *
14	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE PAUL L. MALONEY United States District Judge
15	Kalamazoo, Michigan April 21, 2008
16	APPEARANCES:
17	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
18	
19	DANIEL Y. MEKARU Assistant United States Attorney P.O. Box 208
20	Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0208
21	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
22	PAUL J. DENENFELD LaGrand & Denenfeld
23	161 Ottawa Avenue, N.W., Suite 404 Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503-2712
24	Grana Rapids, Pitchigan 49303-2/12
25	

1	Kalamazoo, Michigan
2	April 21, 2008
3	at approximately 3:09 p.m.
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	THE COURT: This is 03-291 and 07-267; the
6	United States of America vs. Toby Studabaker. This matter is
7	before the Court for sentencing.
8	The record should reflect that Assistant United
9	States Attorney Daniel Mekaru is here on behalf of the
10	government. Attorney Paul Denenfeld is here on behalf of the
11	defendant. The defendant is present in person.
12	The defendant pled guilty before Magistrate Judge
13	Ellen S. Carmody on November 20 of the year 2007, in both
14	files. The plea was accepted by Senior Judge Richard Alan
15	Enslen on December 20 of the year 2007. The case was
16	reassigned to this Court recently, I believe, just prior to the
17	adjourned sentencing date in this matter. At that time, there
18	was a request for a continuance. The Court asked for briefing
19	on certain legal issues in the case. I do have one objection
20	to resolve. I don't know if it's been withdrawn or not.
21	Mr. Mekaru, do you seek a ruling, sir, on the issue
22	related to Guideline 4B1.5, related to pattern of conduct?
23	MR. MEKARU: Yes, your Honor. But we don't have any
24	additional evidence to present to the Court. We rely on our
25	briefs and the reports that were generated by the, I think it

- was the Michigan State Police out of St. Joseph County.
- Thank you.
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 4 Mr. Denenfeld, do you wish to be heard on that
- 5 matter, other than what is in your memo?
- 6 MR. DENENFELD: I'll rest on what is in my memo, your
- 7 Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 9 The government over-- or the Court overrules the
- 10 government's objection. The Court finds there is inadequate
- 11 evidentiary support for the application of that guideline,
- 12 which would have resulted in an, I believe it was a five-level
- 13 enhancement. But in any event, the Court finds that that is
- 14 not a guideline that should be scored. Accordingly, the
- 15 government's objection is overruled.
- 16 The Court finds under the advisory guidelines that
- 17 the Advisory Guideline Level is 27, the Criminal History
- 18 Category is I, resulting in a guideline range of 70 to 80
- 19 months.
- 20 Mr. Mekaru, setting aside the objection I've just
- 21 overruled, is the guideline range appropriately scored?
- 22 MR. MEKARU: Yes, your Honor. I just, again, this is
- 23 more perhaps -- perhaps this is more in the nature of my request
- 24 for the departure for variance, but I did note that because we
- 25 folded two cases in together, and I've always considered the

- 1 exploitation of the child and the sexual assault against a
- 2 child to be a major case, that we have folded in the prior
- 3 conviction from England into the scoring of his criminal
- 4 history-- I'm sorry, into the scoring of his relevant conduct,
- 5 so therefore, it's not available for the criminal history
- 6 computation, that it otherwise would be if we were only dealing
- 7 with the child pornography case out of North Carolina. Because
- 8 it all is folded in, I am willing to so state that we don't
- 9 have any objections. I just wanted to make note that there is
- 10 that issue. So I realize I'm speaking perhaps out of both
- 11 sides of my face, but we will stand by the computation as is.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. I mean this is for purposes
- 13 of determining whether the guidelines are adequately-- or
- 14 completely and accurately scored. I recognize that there are
- 15 requests for variances on both sides, and the Court has given
- 16 notice of a potential upward departure or variance also. But
- 17 for purposes of nailing down whether the advisory guideline
- 18 range is appropriately scored or not, do you concur or not?
- 19 MR. MEKARU: I'm sorry, your Honor, yes, I do.
- THE COURT: Mr. Denenfeld?
- 21 MR. DENENFELD: I believe so. I thought I heard you
- 22 say 70 to 80 months, and I think it's 87.
- THE COURT: It's 87.
- MR. DENENFELD: Yes, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

- 1 All right. Mr. Mekaru, are you moving third level of
- 2 acceptance?
- 3 MR. MEKARU: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. That motion is granted. That
- 5 does not change the advisory guideline range because the Court
- 6 anticipated the making of the motion and the granting thereof.
- 7 Mr. Denenfeld, have you had the opportunity, sir, of
- 8 reviewing the presentence report with your client?
- 9 MR. DENENFELD: I have, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: And does defense have any objections that
- 11 have not already been taken care of?
- MR. DENENFELD: We do not, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Mr. Studabaker, is that correct, sir,
- 14 you've had adequate time to review the presentence report with
- 15 your lawyer, Mr. Denenfeld?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, sir.
- 17 THE COURT: Is Mr. Denenfeld's statement correct,
- 18 that you have no objections; is that right?
- 19 THE DEFENDANT: That is correct, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
- 21 Are you satisfied with Mr. Denenfeld's work on your
- 22 behalf?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 All right. As I said, I have a request from the

- 1 government for an upward departure variance. I have a request
- 2 for a downward departure or variance from the defense. The
- 3 Court through a notice filed on March 27, 2008, gave notice
- 4 that I was considering an upward departure or a variance from
- 5 the advisory guideline range for the reasons delineated
- 6 therein. And I will hear from counsel on all of the matters
- 7 attendant to the sentencing at this time.
- 8 So, Mr. Mekaru, on behalf of the government, sir.
- 9 MR. MEKARU: Thank you, your Honor.
- 10 Your Honor, I quess first I'll address the issue of
- 11 the fact that, as I so butchered, this question of the fact
- 12 there are two cases that have been folded into one. And I
- 13 realize this is of the government's making, we have asked this
- 14 Court to consider consolidated resolution of this case, and we
- 15 nonetheless, feel that is appropriate, it's a good use of the
- 16 government's resources as well as the Court's resources to do
- 17 this. But I do realize by doing this we have created a number
- 18 of issues for this Court's consideration, and for sentencing.
- 19 Now, you've asked-- you've asked us to brief this
- 20 question of the impact of 5G1.3. Now, 5G1.3 generally deals
- 21 with a situation where you have an undischarged term of
- 22 incarceration, where defendant is still serving custody time
- 23 under some other-- in some other jurisdiction or as relates to
- 24 some other case and they are now before this Court. That is
- 25 not the situation we have here. Mr. Studabaker has completed

- 1 his sentence in England. He is in custody right now solely
- 2 based on the fact that he is charged in the Western District of
- 3 Michigan and the Eastern District of North Carolina. So what
- 4 we have instead is a discharged term.
- 5 Now, in most instances where have you a discharged
- 6 term of incarceration, that falls just in the general category
- 7 of prior criminal history. It is only if there is some overlap
- 8 between the prior, for example, prior state case and the
- 9 instant federal case. Or in this particular case, some overlap
- 10 between the case in England and the case before you that
- 11 perhaps there is some sort of consideration that should be
- 12 given to the defendant. And I believe that is, not to speak
- 13 for Mr. Denenfeld, but I believe that's where counsel has asked
- 14 for this Court, under the commentary, to consider a departure,
- 15 because the commentary does authorize this Court to give some
- 16 sort of credit for that prior term of incarceration.
- 17 Now, that said. First with respect to the case in
- 18 England. The charges in England do overlap the charges that
- 19 were brought in this district, but not entirely. The charges
- 20 in England were for inducing an act of gross indecency, and
- 21 abduction of a minor. Now, that was not kidnapping. It was
- 22 essentially the taking of a minor from her parents without
- 23 their permission or authority.
- 24 The charges we have in Michigan deal with coercion or
- 25 enticement and also include the act of traveling from the

- 1 United States overseas and actually committing a sex act. The
- 2 charges in England could not address the fact that the
- 3 defendant had sex or raped this 12-year-old girl in France.
- 4 The French authorities could have jurisdiction over that, but
- 5 not in England.
- 6 Now, in response actually to this case, the English
- 7 authorities have since enacted new laws to address this very
- 8 conduct. But nonetheless, the prosecution in England did not
- 9 address the fact that the sentence in England did not address
- 10 the fact that the defendant had raped a 12-year-old girl, and
- 11 that's what we have before you today.
- 12 Now, I do understand though that the overall facts of
- 13 the incident in England is identical to the facts you have
- 14 before you in the Michigan case. And perhaps if that was the
- 15 sole matter before this Court, there might still be some room
- 16 for some consideration for the defendant. Set that aside.
- 17 North Carolina. The North Carolina case deals with child
- 18 pornography. The English prosecution had no bearing whatsoever
- 19 on the case in North Carolina. There was no prosecution for
- 20 child pornography, no sentence imposed for child pornography,
- 21 no consideration given at the time of sentencing for that
- 22 offense. So if we were to look solely at the case in North
- 23 Carolina, it would look back at this conviction in England
- 24 purely as just prior criminal history. And as I suggested in
- 25 our sentencing memorandum, the supplemental, that the

- 1 defendant's criminal history score would be incrementally
- 2 higher because he would be one criminal history category higher
- 3 under the recommended guideline computation.
- 4 All right. So that is my analysis of this 5G1.3 and
- 5 how it may or may not come into play in the sentencing in this
- 6 case.
- 7 Your Honor, it would be the government's position, at
- 8 this point, that as a consolidated case, no credit should be
- 9 given to the defendant under 5G1.3, and more specifically
- 10 5G1.3(b) is the section that could be implicated.
- 11 Also, just so the Court is aware, if the Court were
- 12 of a mind to grant credit for the time in England, the
- 13 defendant has served essentially a four-year sentence for the
- 14 criminal offense. His date of discharge on that criminal
- 15 offense, his date of release was August 21st of 2006. At that
- 16 moment, he was no longer in custody on a criminal case. His
- 17 status in England was that of an undesirable, an individual who
- 18 was in the country, as far as they were concerned, unlawfully,
- 19 and was subject to deportation. Now, the defendant was given
- 20 notice of this intent to deport and filed a notice-- his own
- 21 notice of appeal. From August 21st, 2006, until his return to
- 22 the United States in 2007, it was June 2nd, 2007, his custody
- 23 in England was for an immigration matter, not on any sort of
- 24 criminal charges. So if this Court were of a mind to grant the
- 25 defendant some sort of consideration for time, there is

- 1 absolutely no overlap between his UK sentence of incarceration
- 2 on a criminal case-- excuse me, there is no overlap between the
- 3 deportation immigration matter and the criminal charges.
- 4 All right. Now, to this question of the defendant's
- 5 sentence. Your Honor, it's the government's position that an
- 6 advisory range is 70 to 87 months does not adequately reflect
- 7 and adequately address the defendant's conduct and that a
- 8 departure is appropriate. Primarily the government's concern
- 9 here has to do with the defendant's prior history of trolling
- 10 for children and grooming children and are concerned of his
- 11 future risk.
- 12 Now, the quidelines under 4B1.5 and under 2G2.2, we
- 13 will address this pattern question, but that is a very narrow
- 14 definition. And as this Court has already ruled, given the
- 15 limited facts that were available regarding the 1998
- 16 conviction, that this Court would have some difficulty in
- 17 scoring that enhancement based on those limited facts, so we
- 18 understand that, but nonetheless, felt there might be
- 19 sufficient evidence for the Court's consideration.
- 20 But setting aside what is specifically enumerated and
- 21 scored under a guideline, let's consider overall under 3553,
- 22 the nature of this individual. What has he demonstrated for
- 23 us? Your Honor, the defendant is interested in children, and
- 24 he is interested in children as objects for sexual desire.
- 25 Now, how can I make that declaration? Because the

- 1 defendant groomed an 11-year-old girl from England, took this
- 2 child and accelerated her maturation and her sexual knowledge
- 3 so that when he actually met her in person, he already laid the
- 4 groundwork for her to be a willing participant in his sexual
- 5 exploit. This is a child who was 12 years old.
- 6 Now, in addition to this victim, we have prosecuted
- 7 the defendant for raping. Law enforcement has determined that
- 8 this isn't the only instance where the defendant has gone out
- 9 seeking a child. The defendant used the internet, cast a wide
- 10 net looking for children who were vulnerable. He was able to
- 11 establish an internet relationship with a child in Australia,
- 12 who was 10 years old. Two more internet relationships that we
- 13 have been able to identify with girls in Newaygo County, who
- 14 were 11 years old.
- Now, what we found out through the investigation is
- 16 that the victim in this case, S.P., originally met the
- 17 defendant through the website called Neopets. Now, as we have
- 18 briefed this Court and provided information, Neopets is a
- 19 website that caters to children. Their own records and their
- 20 own demographics indicate that the vast majority of their users
- 21 are minors, and a substantial portion of their users are under
- 22 the age of 12, very young children. And the defendant's
- 23 meeting, frequenting this website and meets S.P., who is 11
- 24 years old. In addition, he also met a child who was in
- 25 Australia, and she was 10 years old, through Neopets.

1	What is a man who is 31 years old doing trolling
2	around at a website designed for children? Now, he can say
3	well, there are other adults who are interested in this. This
4	could be something other adults are interested in, perhaps, but
5	perhaps instead it's because the defendant has an interest in
6	children and this is his way of getting access to them. And
7	that's borne out by the fact that he actually was able to get
8	access to S.P.
9	Now, part of the conversation he had with that girl
10	in Australia included things like, "What is the color of your
11	bathing suit? Do you have a boyfriend?" You're asking a
12	10-year-old, do you have a boyfriend? Are your parents around?
13	Is your mom around right now? Are you alone? That became
14	important for him to be able to separate her from her parents
15	so he could have this window of communication that was
16	unsupervised.
17	The defendant again established his relationships
18	with these other girls up in Newaygo.
19	Now, I understand the defendant was serving this
20	country, and perhaps as part of the school program that he was
21	communicating with these children who were encouraged to have
22	communications with soldiers serving overseas. Okay, that's
23	possible, sure. But again, your Honor, it's like looking at
24	this Neopets website, it's a legitimate website, this

communication with children and soldiers is a legitimate

25

- 1 program, but instead the defendant used these programs to his
- 2 advantage.
- Now, not only just communicating with these girls,
- 4 but again, trying to establish relationships with them to go
- 5 meet them to the point where one of these girls is making notes
- 6 and talking about how she loves Toby. What I find troubling
- 7 about that statement is saying, "I love Toby," is that that is
- 8 the exact same statement that I saw from S.P. Again,
- 9 handwritten notes, "love Toby."
- 10 While adults can differentiate between a parent's
- 11 love for a child, an adult's fondness for a child, a child's
- 12 understanding of what is encompassed and what is meant by love
- 13 can be turned upside down by an adult who is grooming that girl
- 14 to believe that not only is it love as an emotion as something
- 15 that you want to care for and protect, but it's love as an
- 16 avenue for sex. And what we saw with the chats with S.P. was
- 17 exactly that, where this young girl is talking about this
- 18 romanticized notion of what she thought love might be,
- 19 caressing the hair, oh, isn't that lovely. And then the
- 20 defendant is talking about groping her and his digital
- 21 penetration of her vagina.
- 22 It is the government's estimation that this defendant
- 23 poses a risk, and will continue to pose a risk to children, and
- 24 that his conduct at this point warrants a sentence that is
- 25 greater than this recommended range. And that his danger to

- 1 the community requires this Court to consider a sentence above
- 2 the recommended range.
- 3 Now, today I was provided information by Deputy
- 4 Gelement, and he was provided information, I believe, by a
- 5 Kalamazoo Sheriffs Department. On one hand when I received it
- 6 and read through the report, I kind of scratched my head and
- 7 wondered what exactly was the significance of this. I believe
- 8 the Court has been provided a copy, but if I may for the record
- 9 purposes at least establish my understanding is that the
- 10 defendant, Toby Studabaker, re-established an old relationship
- 11 with a woman by the name of Jennifer, last name escapes me,
- 12 Davis-- Jennifer Davis.
- 13 As I understand, Ms. Davis is blind or sight impaired
- 14 and hearing impaired, and that while she may dispute this
- 15 notion, it's my understanding that she's also suffering from
- 16 some mental disability. Now, we have spoken with her mother,
- 17 Patricia Newman, who has confirmed her physical disabilities as
- 18 well as her mental disabilities. Now, what I understand is
- 19 that Mr. Studabaker and Ms. Davis have been talking about
- 20 future plans, potentially even a marriage, and that he wants to
- 21 have some future relationship with her.
- Now, Ms. Davis is an adult, I think she's perhaps
- 23 30-- in her thirties, which could be just fine. I think Mr.
- 24 Denenfeld has also done some investigation into this question
- 25 of the relationship as to whether there is much tension between

- 1 Ms. Davis and Mr. Studabaker, I don't know quite what to make
- 2 of it. As I understand it, there is some relationship, and
- 3 there was some desire to have a life together.
- 4 Now, what I find, as a prosecutor, troubling about
- 5 that situation is the fact Miss Davis has four children, all
- 6 young children. It has been my experience in these cases that
- 7 it's often true that defendants or offenders who have a sexual
- 8 interest in children will befriend women, single women who have
- 9 children in the home. Why? Because that gives them access to
- 10 those kids.
- 11 Now, I don't know if the defendant realizes this or
- 12 not, and it's been-- we have been advised that maybe he is not
- 13 aware of this, but three of those children are no longer in Ms.
- 14 Davis' care and custody or in any way under the care, custody,
- 15 and control of her family. They have all been adopted by
- 16 others. There remains one child though who is still within the
- 17 family, and as I understand it, this child's first name begins
- 18 with S, is in the care of Ms. Davis' mother, Patty Newman.
- 19 This girl is seven years old.
- 20 As I understand it, and speaking with my agent, he
- 21 had contacted Ms. Newman, that Mr. Studabaker will often call
- 22 to speak with Ms. Newman, and then will inquire about S, "How
- 23 is she? How is she doing? May I speak with her?" And will
- 24 have conversations with her quite regularly on the phone.
- 25 Your Honor, that strikes me as much of the same

- 1 conduct as I had seen with S.P., this girl in England, the
- 2 10-year-old child in Australia and these 11-year-old girls up
- 3 in Newaygo. Again, the defendant having an interest in a young
- 4 female. She's seven years old.
- 5 The defendant-- Well, here's what I also
- 6 understand: That, according to Ms. Newman, at some point
- 7 someone had initiated an inquiry with the State of Michigan
- 8 asking about getting benefits, government benefits for S when S
- 9 gets back into the custody of her mother, Ms. Davis. So it is
- 10 somehow planned out that this child will be removed from the
- 11 grandparent and come back into the home with her biological
- 12 mother, in a home that apparently the defendant will share.
- 13 Now, Ms. Newman has indicated that's not something that she
- 14 initiated, and as she understands it, that's not something that
- 15 Ms. Davis initiated nor any other family member. The only
- 16 other person who knew about this relationship and the fact that
- 17 Ms. Newman had custody of this child was Mr. Studabaker. I
- 18 realize this is some speculation on their part, but they,
- 19 through the process of elimination, have determined that it
- 20 would be Mr. Studabaker would be the one who would be driving
- 21 this. Now, it's possible that his fiance, Ms. Davis, could
- 22 have had a part in this too. But again, what that does is puts
- 23 Mr. Studabaker back into anticipating his release from custody
- 24 at some point in a home with a child that he could have access
- 25 to. He serves another few years, say 70 months, credit for

- 1 four years, he could be out in another two, she would be nine
- 2 years old.
- 3 Now, I've also been told there is some unfortunate
- 4 information about this child's health. According to Ms.
- 5 Newman, the child is suffering from what she described as a
- 6 brain tumor. She may be, as I understand it, terminal. And
- 7 again, the other information that we had is Mr. Studabaker may
- 8 or may not have been aware about the other three children being
- 9 no longer in the home. So that's what we have been sorting out
- 10 through today.
- 11 What other sort of information do we have of recent
- 12 conduct where the defendant is continuing to try to have a
- 13 contact with children, he tried to contact S.P.,
- 14 he sent her a letter, a card. That was intercepted by the
- 15 authorities in England. But this was after his sentence, after
- 16 he was already incarcerated. He's continuing to try to
- 17 communicate with S.P.
- 18 While this defendant has expressed in his presentence
- 19 report an understanding of going through sex offender treatment
- 20 of a better understanding of himself, it doesn't appear he has
- 21 had a better understanding of what his actions have on others.
- 22 Imagine the impact on this child to receive out of the blue a
- 23 letter from the defendant, this offender, where she's got these
- 24 mixed emotions about someone who she expressed her love for,
- 25 and it may have been entirely heartfelt on her part, and she's

- 1 being told that rather than a relationship that she was used or
- 2 raped and then gets a letter from him. Only opening again this
- 3 scab, all the pain and suffering that she's gone through by
- 4 getting another letter from him. And that doesn't strike me as
- 5 an individual who understands what he's done, understands that
- 6 what he's done has turned this poor girl's life completely
- 7 upside down.
- 8 Your Honor, I have attached, as part of our
- 9 sentencing memorandum, the letter from the victim's family. I
- 10 don't know if I can any better address or speak to the impact
- 11 the defendant's conduct has had on that family. But I did note
- 12 that this poor family was thrown into a media firestorm. Now,
- is that his-- or is that his fault? Well, as far as I'm
- 14 concerned, yes. Because he is the one who committed the act.
- 15 And what he started were consequences and were events that were
- 16 directly attributable to the fact that he took a 12-year-old
- 17 girl from her home and decided to take her to Paris and to
- 18 France and Germany and created a huge uproar.
- 19 Now, I realize there was some bad press for the
- 20 United States, but we are a country. We are what, the sole
- 21 remaining superpower. We get bad press all the time. We
- 22 should expect that. That's fine. But not for the family, not
- 23 for this poor girl. This child's face was plastered all over
- 24 every single publication in the United Kingdom as a consequence
- 25 of what this defendant has done.

- 1 Your Honor, I think I've taxed perhaps this Court's
- 2 patience, and I thank you. I've been speaking quite awhile.
- 3 Your Honor, I don't know ultimately how much time
- 4 this individual should serve, that's for this Court. But my
- 5 sense of what is a just sentence does not fall within this
- 6 range of 70 to 87 months.
- 7 Your Honor, as this Court has seen with more recent
- 8 prosecutions where, understandably so, the statutes have
- 9 changed or the guidelines have changed, I think there is a
- 10 recognition that these offenders and these offenses must be
- 11 addressed seriously and must be punished to the point where
- 12 other people-- others are deterred and that the specific
- 13 offender, the offender who is before this Court is deterred
- 14 from future misconduct.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Mekaru.
- 17 Mr. Denenfeld.
- 18 MR. DENENFELD: What is most remarkable about what
- 19 the government says in writing and orally is that they have
- 20 presented virtually no evidence in support, and yet they have
- 21 made serious allegations on a hot button issue, namely, having
- 22 sex with children. And I hope that the Court will hold the
- 23 government to its proofs before the Court decides to make a
- 24 significant upward departure.
- Let me take them one at a time.

- 1 The girl in Australia, while I would suggest to the
- 2 Court that was downright disingenuous for the government to
- 3 include the statement from the 10-year-old girl, but not to
- 4 include the statement from the mother. The mother, who
- 5 actually says that the first contact that Mr. Studabaker made
- 6 on this Neopets was to ask whether there were any mature people
- 7 out there. The mother who says that she developed a
- 8 relationship with Mr. Studabaker in which they talked about
- 9 adult topics ranging from the death of Mr. Studabaker's wife
- 10 while he was in the service to other kinds of family issues.
- 11 The mother who says that even after some discomfort with
- 12 Mr. Studabaker's conduct, the family still sent him a Christmas
- 13 card.
- 14 Then the government throws in these two girls in
- 15 Newaygo County. Those would be the two girls in Newaygo
- 16 County, where after an investigation, there was a conclusion
- 17 reached that nothing inappropriate occurred. That nothing
- 18 inappropriate occurred.
- 19 And then the most recent thing, this-- first of all,
- 20 I have to tell the Court, not surprisingly, I get a little bit
- 21 nervous when people are tossing me reports as I walk into a
- 22 sentencing, but let me at least tell you what I know about this
- 23 report. Ms. Davis contacted me in my office and we had a
- 24 conversation with the use of a hearing impaired assistance
- 25 operator. Ms. Davis was very concerned about the case, wanted

- to know what I believed Mr. Studabaker was looking at
- 2 sentence-wise, indicated to me that the two of them had
- 3 re-begun a friendship that they had started all the way back in
- 4 high school.
- 5 And with respect to her mother, Ms. Newman, I'm not
- 6 quite sure what to say about that, because one of the lawyers
- 7 in my office, who is present here today, spoke with Ms. Newman
- 8 when Ms. Newman agreed that she would, in fact, be power of
- 9 attorney for Toby Studabaker, and we drafted that for the
- 10 client, and we ended up transmitting that to the bank where
- 11 Mr. Studabaker has an account. I believe actually that went
- 12 badly after Ms. Newman cleaned out the account by using the
- 13 power of attorney. Why Ms. Newman is suddenly making reports
- 14 to the police, I can't address. I simply don't know the
- 15 answer, but I know I had personal contact with Ms. Davis, and
- 16 it's quite different from what I'm reading here today.
- 17 The bottom line is that there has been very little,
- 18 if any, credible, reliable evidence that has been presented to
- 19 you. And the government is making statements like a prior
- 20 history of trolling for children. I haven't heard any evidence
- 21 that suggests such a prior history. Grooming children. Well,
- 22 besides the S.P. matter for which he has already
- 23 served prison time and will no doubt be serving more, I haven't
- 24 heard any evidence of that either.
- 25 I've already addressed the Australian 10-year-old,

- and the fact that her mother seemed to have been as much of
- 2 that relationship as was the 10-year-old. The Newaygo County,
- 3 which seems to have had an airing and an investigation, and
- 4 which the conclusion was that nothing inappropriate had
- 5 occurred. It's almost like the 1998 St. Joseph County one,
- 6 which the government actually tried to piggyback onto a
- 7 five-level increase, even though it's unclear as to whether a
- 8 charge was ever even brought, and if a charge was brought, it
- 9 was promptly dismissed for insufficient evidence by the
- 10 prosecuting official. Boy, I would suggest that if we are
- 11 going to start increasing sentences on the basis of that kind
- of evidence, that that would be troubling indeed.
- 13 With respect to the 5G1.3, I think, I honestly
- 14 acknowledge to the Court, I don't think 5G1.3 deals with this
- 15 situation. I would like to be able to make an argument that it
- 16 does, but I don't think it does. But I also believe that when
- 17 you compare Count One with, in this case, with what
- 18 Mr. Studabaker served time for in the UK, they are virtually
- 19 identical. I won't quibble with Mr. Mekaru, it's true the
- 20 elements may be different, but the bottom line is the facts are
- 21 identical as to what led to it.
- Then let me address the child pornography in this
- 23 way: We established, and I'm sure the Court read the plea
- 24 transcript, a very narrow factual basis for that. In fact, it
- 25 was difficult to establish a factual basis. But Mr. Studabaker

- 1 did acknowledge under oath that he was aware of the existence
- 2 of child pornographic images on the hard drive and that was
- 3 enough to satisfy the statute. Mr. Studabaker has steadfastly
- 4 maintained, and I don't think anyone has seriously questioned
- 5 it, he was not the person who downloaded those images. The
- 6 computer was being used in an army barracks in which a number
- 7 of people had access to it, etcetera.
- 8 The North Carolina charge was a charge that everyone
- 9 believed should have been disposed of in this case as well, it
- 10 wouldn't have made sense from the defense standpoint to have
- 11 Mr. Studabaker resolve this case and then have to have defended
- 12 himself in North Carolina, and so a plea bargain was reached,
- 13 and Mr. Studabaker stands by it. He did acknowledge that he
- 14 had knowledge of the existence of the images. But I would
- 15 suggest to you that that is pretty limited involvement in a
- 16 typical child pornography case where you're talking about
- 17 somebody who was clearly intentionally going out and
- downloading images, which is not the case here.
- 19 I acknowledge again, and I acknowledged in what I
- 20 wrote, that the child pornography charge was not taken into
- 21 account in the UK. Again, I wish I could argue otherwise, I
- 22 can't. But I would suggest that, as I think even Mr. Mekaru
- 23 just acknowledged, the child pornography count here is
- 24 significantly less severe, particularly given the circumstances
- 25 of Mr. Studabaker's limited involvement and that he should be

- 1 given some credit for the four years.
- 2 I also want to acknowledge, as I did in the footnote
- 3 in what I filed, that it's true that a significant portion of
- 4 the four years was based upon immigration holds while
- 5 Mr. Studabaker's solicitor ended up making various appeals
- 6 having to do with double jeopardy, the protections that are
- 7 quite different in Europe than they are in the United States.
- 8 Ultimately that appeal was lost and he was transported here.
- 9 But I would suggest if it walks like a duck and talks like a
- 10 duck. The bottom line is, he was locked up for four years as a
- 11 result of the UK conviction. And I'm sure it didn't make much
- 12 difference to Mr. Studabaker as to what he was being locked up
- 13 for, he was still being locked up in the very same place as a
- 14 result of his conduct.
- 15 Look, Mr. Studabaker has never made any excuses here,
- 16 and I don't think he will when he has an opportunity to speak
- 17 to you today. He knows that what happened was serious. He
- 18 knows that it was wrong. But I would also point out to the
- 19 Court that he completed a sex offender treatment program while
- 20 in the UK prison, a program that was completed incidently after
- 21 he tried to write that letter to Ms.-- or to S.P., however we
- 22 are referring to her. And again, I would suggest that the
- 23 letter was a clumsy attempt to try to make her feel better.
- 24 Mr. Studabaker is not mister sophistication, I think it's fair
- 25 to say that, and it was a clumsy attempt, but I don't think

- 1 there's anything to suggest that he was trying to in any way do
- 2 anything except apologize and make her feel better for his
- 3 misconduct.
- 4 I just don't see how the existing quideline range has
- 5 not taken into account the details of this crime. And if you
- 6 look at the quideline calculation, every act that occurred here
- 7 was, in fact, scored and did, in fact, result in the guideline
- 8 calculus that we have. I understand the law may have changed,
- 9 but this Court knows, as well as I, that we take the law that
- 10 applied at the time the act was committed. I think
- 11 Mr. Studabaker is already looking, when you combine the UK time
- 12 with the time that he is facing here, a significant prison
- 13 sentence. If he has already done four years, he is looking at
- 14 the potential of more than seven additional years. It's not as
- 15 if Mr. Studabaker is going to walk away getting a tap on the
- 16 wrist. That's a significant sentence, a double-digit
- 17 sentence. And I would suggest that the government has simply
- 18 failed to present sufficient evidence to prompt the Court to
- 19 actually somehow grant an upward variance.
- It's frankly, troubling to me that some of these
- 21 allegations are being made and, you know, as Mr. Mekaru was
- 22 talking, I wrote down a couple of terms that he used several
- 23 times, maybe, perhaps Mr. Studabaker may or may not have known
- 24 about this seven year old. Now, well, you know what, you know
- 25 we are talking about imprisoning a person for longer than what

- 1 is already a significant amount of time. And I would suggest
- 2 to the Court that maybe, perhaps and Mr. Studabaker may or may
- 3 not have known, is simply not sufficient.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Denenfeld, let me ask you
- 6 a couple questions here.
- 7 As it relates to the documents that I've seen from
- 8 the Australian parent.
- 9 MR. DENENFELD: Yes.
- 10 THE COURT: Directing your attention to what I think
- 11 is Page 4 of that document. You indicate that in your
- 12 colloquy, that there is no connection between these two
- 13 youngsters, the youngster in Great Britain and the young person
- 14 in Australia, but a couple of statements in the parents'
- 15 statement jumped out at me as being reminiscent, shall we say,
- of the contact with S.P. The top of the first complete
- 17 paragraph on Page 4. "Toby began to request to chat with Erin
- 18 more and more often. I found this a little odd." Jumping down
- 19 to the next paragraph. "Often when I logged onto the computer,
- 20 Toby would also get on line and make contact with me. Almost
- 21 immediately he would request to speak with Erin. I recall one
- 22 occasion Erin told me that Toby asked her what she was
- 23 wearing." This is, as I understand it, a 9 or 10-year-old
- 24 child. "I thought that was a strange question to ask a
- 25 9-year-old girl. Erin also told me that Toby would ask her

- 1 whether her mom, " meaning I presume that is English for mother,
- 2 "or dad or anyone else was in the room."
- 3 Now, those sorts of comments or questions don't
- 4 impress me as being-- I don't think you can interpret those,
- 5 and come back at me if you disagree with me, interpreting those
- 6 in context, don't they seem troubling similar to the
- 7 communications with S.P.?
- 8 MR. DENENFELD: As I think I indicated in my filing,
- 9 your Honor, there is no question that in those two paragraphs
- 10 the mother seems to be describing a certain level of discomfort
- 11 about Mr. Studabaker's actions during that period of time. But
- 12 I think a couple of things are worth noting. First of all,
- 13 apparently even after the conduct that she's describing, the
- 14 family sent him a Christmas card. Apparently at the time they
- 15 were not disturbed enough to have taken any action to cut off
- 16 the relationship. And in fact, she then describes ultimately
- 17 the relationship sort of ending as Mr. Studabaker started
- 18 talking about S.P., I think her initials are. But I would also
- 19 suggest to the Court that the statement, of course, was drafted
- 20 by law enforcement, and was drafted by law enforcement after
- 21 the fact. And, of course, when she then found out-- the mother
- 22 I'm talking about -- that there was inappropriate conduct with
- 23 this British young girl, my sense is that she probably then
- 24 went back and started putting a different gloss on things. But
- 25 what we do know is that while there was a level of discomfort,

- 1 they did not cut off the relationship. They apparently did not
- 2 feel so uncomfortable that it was time to stop allowing
- 3 Mr. Studabaker to have contact with Erin. And again, they
- 4 apparently even sent a Christmas card for which Mr. Studabaker
- 5 tried to repay them with a gift of a camera, which ultimately,
- 6 I can't remember whether or not they accepted it or not, but I
- 7 certainly do acknowledge those two paragraphs. And I don't
- 8 know how analogous they are to the S.P. specific facts, but
- 9 clearly the issue about whether or not mom is around, etcetera,
- 10 is troubling. But on the whole, I think if you look at the
- 11 statement by the mother, the mother clearly indicates that
- 12 Mr. Studabaker first initially established the contact with
- 13 her, after he specifically asked on the website for a mature
- 14 person, whether or not there were, in essence, adults out there
- 15 that he could start a relationship with, and that much of the
- 16 relationship that he had with the mother was an adult level.
- 17 Talking about family issues, talking about spouses, talking
- 18 about the loss of his spouse.
- 19 So I acknowledge that those paragraphs are
- 20 troubling. But I think on the whole the statement by the
- 21 mother suggests that there was a significant amount of contact
- 22 that was going on on an adult level as well. And I would
- 23 suggest to you that if Mr. Mekaru is right, and that if
- 24 Mr. Studabaker really doesn't have any reason to go on this
- 25 website unless he is trolling or grooming for kids, it would be

- 1 kind of odd to make your initial contact asking for adults out
- 2 there that you could establish a relationship with to talk
- 3 about adult topics.
- 4 THE COURT: Do you wish to address in any way the
- 5 factors that were noticed on my notice of consideration for
- 6 upward departure or variance, and if you do, that's fine, if
- 7 you don't, that's okay, too.
- 8 MR. DENENFELD: I tried to essentially cover a lot of
- 9 what I viewed as the concerns that the Court had about this.
- 10 So if you have questions about particular factors or issues,
- 11 I'm certainly happy to address them more specifically.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. I don't have any questions at
- 13 this point.
- 14 MR. DENENFELD: All right. Thank you, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 16 Mr. Studabaker, is there anything you wish to say in
- 17 your own behalf, sir? You can stand at the podium or remain
- 18 seated, whichever you wish.
- 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I would like to speak to
- 20 the seriousness of everything that's gone on. It's
- 21 inexcusable. Having four years, going on five years now, to
- 22 sit and think about everything that has gone on, and looking at
- 23 which way my life was going at that time, there is no excuse
- 24 for what I've done. None at all. And I'm not making any
- 25 excuses.

- 1 Being over in England and doing the extensive course
- 2 that I done, the sex offenders treatment program, you know,
- 3 when I wrote those letters, it was before I started doing the
- 4 treatment program, and they came to me promptly and talked to
- 5 me about this and it was those that made me decide I needed to
- 6 go into this program to have a look at myself and see where I
- 7 needed to work on to keep myself from going back down this road
- 8 that I took, because I don't want to stand in front of you or
- 9 any other judge and have to explain myself again for actions
- 10 that aren't excusable.
- 11 The North Carolina, I knew they were on there, and I
- 12 should have done something about it, and I didn't. It was my
- 13 responsibility, it was my computer, and I didn't take
- 14 responsibility. I don't know what else to say about that.
- 15 THE COURT: So there is no link between the
- 16 communications with S.P. in Great Britain and the fact that
- 17 there was child pornography on your computer?
- 18 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor, no link. I didn't
- 19 download those. I don't know who did. Like I said, when I saw
- 20 them on there, I became disturbed that they were on there, but
- 21 I didn't take what I thought were the necessary steps. I tried
- 22 to get rid of them. You know, it wasn't until I was about
- 23 ready to get out of the Marine Corps that I had saw those, and
- 24 I thought through deleting them or getting rid of them, trying
- 25 to erase the hard drives off there that that was, you know,

- 1 that was adequate. I didn't know exactly who I was supposed to
- 2 go to for that.
- 3 THE COURT: Well, how about your commanding officer?
- 4 If there were fellow Marines doing it, how about your
- 5 commanding officer?
- 6 THE DEFENDANT: One thing that we-- that's-- It's
- 7 hard to tell on people that have to watch your back when
- 8 you're, you know. I was in Afghanistan, and to tell on those
- 9 people, not knowing exactly who it was, you know, I didn't
- 10 know, you know, I didn't want to get the wrong person in
- 11 trouble. I didn't-- So I thought was I did what I thought
- 12 would take care of the problem. And I wanted to get rid of the
- 13 computer because I was getting ready to leave anyway, and I
- 14 didn't want those on there. I didn't want anyone else to see
- 15 those. And not knowing exactly who it was that downloaded it,
- 16 I don't know-- I didn't know who exactly to go to about it. I
- 17 didn't know who to tell and say, you know, give a specific
- 18 person who done it. I wish I had. I only wish I had, because
- 19 whoever did do it is still out there, is still doing this, and
- 20 that's where I failed, because I could have prevented more, you
- 21 know, if this person is doing more, and if carried on and taken
- 22 this further, you know, I could have stopped it. But yet here
- 23 I stand in front of you trying to-- No excuses, just no
- 24 excuse.
- 25 You know, I can say sorry until I'm blue in the face,

- but it doesn't cover what I've done.
- 2 Remorse. Do I have it? Yes. I think about
- 3 everything that I've done every day when I sit in that cell,
- 4 and what I could do to keep from going down this road. I
- 5 wanted to go into more extensive counseling. When I go--
- 6 wherever I get placed at, whatever institute I go to, I want to
- 7 get more psychological counseling to deal with the things that
- 8 have happened, like the death of my wife and the abuse when I
- 9 was younger myself, you know, the things that I haven't
- 10 addressed that could be factors that contributed to this, and I
- 11 don't want to go down that road again, I really don't. I don't
- 12 want to hurt anyone else like I've hurt-- the way I've hurt her
- 13 and her family.
- One thing we learned in those-- the course, was
- 15 called a ripple effect. Throwing a stone into a pond and
- 16 seeing the, you know, when you throw a stone in a pond it
- 17 doesn't just stop right there at the point of where it entered,
- 18 it ripples out, it touches everything else, and that's what
- 19 happened here. You know, I hurt her. I hurt her family. I
- 20 hurt my family. I hurt my country. You know, it doesn't
- 21 stop. It just keeps going. And I don't want to do that
- 22 again. I don't want to stand in front of you or in front of
- 23 another judge and have to explain myself again why I'm here.
- I want to be able to get through-- get the counseling
- 25 that I want to do, get a better education and get a job to

- 1 where I can keep myself occupied and keep my mind occupied and
- 2 work on my relationships with my family, with friends, that I
- 3 know I'm going to have to rebuild, and it's going to be a long
- 4 road, and I don't expect it to be something that will be done
- 5 right away. But all I know is I don't want to end up here. I
- 6 don't want to hurt another person like I've hurt her. Not
- 7 again.
- 8 I don't know what else I can say, your Honor. You
- 9 know, I just thank you for giving me a chance to get up and say
- 10 at least that and know that, you know, this comes from, I
- 11 didn't have anything written down, I sat and tried to think of
- 12 something and, you know, I can write down all I want, but
- 13 that's coming from a piece of paper, but I want this to come
- 14 from me, from my heart, and to know that I mean what I say.
- 15 And, you know, that I don't want to recidivate, you know, end
- 16 up being back here. Nothing I have done is worth being back
- 17 here.
- 18 Thank you, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Studabaker.
- 20 Mr. Mekaru, did you have anything further?
- MR. MEKARU: Your Honor, Mr. Studabaker has
- 22 repeatedly said he does not want to go down that road again,
- 23 doesn't want to hurt anyone else. While I think he is being
- 24 honest when he says that that's a risk of anyone else. What I
- 25 don't think he is being honest with respect to this child

- 1 pornography. I don't think he is being honest that he has a
- 2 sexual interest in children. That is the risk. That's what he
- 3 doesn't want to do again. That's what he has to control.
- 4 That's what counseling is supposed to be addressing. That's
- 5 where you learn about avoiding triggers. That's where you
- 6 learn about this cognitive behavior that you need to address
- 7 the potential for recidivating.
- 8 The defendant, I think it said somewhere in here, I
- 9 was looking for it, that he didn't have any sort of sexual
- 10 interest in children. I don't see a 12-year-old child as being
- 11 a woman. That's a child. That's a sexual interest in
- 12 children. That is the risk.
- 13 So anyway, your Honor, I hear the defendant's
- 14 statement regarding this child pornography, and I find it
- 15 disingenuous. He knew it was on there. The images, we have
- 16 full file path information for those images, they weren't
- 17 deleted. We were able to match up file names. Once images are
- 18 deleted and they go into like space, a lot of information just
- 19 gets all-- we had all of that.
- Two more points, your Honor. Regarding the St.
- 21 Joseph County case as being immediately dismissed. I realize
- 22 counsel is not privy to all the information the government
- 23 had. But what happened in that case, your Honor, was that the
- 24 victim and her family moved out of state. My recollection is
- 25 either Kentucky or Tennessee. St. Joseph County is a small

- 1 county, did not have the financial wherewithal to continue the
- 2 prosecution where they would have to bring the victim and the
- 3 witnesses back from out of state to continue a prosecution.
- 4 Now, is that a lack of evidence? Perhaps. But I did not want
- 5 the Court to think that it was somehow deemed to be wholly
- 6 unbelievable.
- 7 Lastly, your Honor, again going back to this attached
- 8 report. Your Honor, we have as attached to this memorandum a
- 9 report that clearly identifies a child. We have made efforts
- 10 obviously to use only initials, as required by the local rules,
- 11 and in addition to the Rules of Federal Procedure to use
- 12 initials, I realize it was unintended by counsel, I mean no
- 13 criticism along those lines, but I would ask we perhaps
- 14 consider sealing that document.
- 15 And I also now with that said, I do realize that in
- 16 the government's own filing that we have included headlines
- 17 from papers where the child's name is clearly stated. I found
- 18 it difficult for myself to redact a newspaper, so I didn't do
- 19 that. But I do note that there was an instance where the
- 20 government itself was also filing and making notice of the
- 21 child's first name, but I didn't want to be accused of somehow
- 22 censoring the press by redacting, so that's kind of where we
- 23 stood.
- 24 But with respect to Erin-- excuse me, with respect to
- 25 the child in Australia, I do know, your Honor, as this Court

- 1 was reviewing the report from the mother, in addition to this
- 2 notion of sharing Christmas cards, the defendant wanted to send
- 3 the child a web cam so he could see her. Your Honor, again, I
- 4 look at that as a continuing desire of the defendant to have
- 5 more and more access to a child. With a Web cam he could
- 6 actually see her, she could see him, in our summation, for
- 7 further grooming.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 Mr. Denenfeld, I'll give you another chance, if you
- 11 wish.
- 12 MR. DENENFELD: Only to remind the Court on the child
- 13 pornography thing, the way the child pornography was found on
- 14 that computer was because the pizza delivery man, who
- 15 Mr. Studabaker sold the computer to, as he was leaving Camp
- 16 Lejeune, apparently discovered it. And my impression is that
- 17 Mr. Studabaker did actually, in fact, try to delete those
- 18 files. But I would simply remind the Court that this was
- 19 simply him selling a computer to a person who was trying to buy
- 20 a computer, and there was nothing more nefarious than that.
- 21 And I assume most of us, if we were aware of any kind of
- 22 pornography or sexual images, would probably try to take care
- 23 of those before sale, and my impression is that that's true.
- 24 With respect to the other things, I think I've made
- 25 my point. I simply believe that there has to be some

- 1 reasonable level of evidence before the Court should increase
- 2 sentences. And I think the evidence here, while in places
- 3 could certainly be interpreted in a way that would-- that would
- 4 result in some concern about the way Mr. Studabaker acted in
- 5 some, particularly given the number of law enforcement
- 6 investigations that went on, and a number of these in which
- 7 nothing resulted, no prosecutions resulted, no charges, let
- 8 alone convictions, that I would ask the Court to simply proceed
- 9 cautiously.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Denenfeld.
- 12 Well, I appreciate the submissions that I received
- 13 from all parties on this case. This is a difficult case, to
- 14 say the least, and I appreciate the assistance of the attorneys
- 15 with their submissions.
- 16 The Court's duty is to impose a sentence sufficient
- 17 but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of
- 18 sentencing set forth in 18 U.S. Code 3553(a). The Court
- 19 recognizes that the guidelines are advisory to the Court, but
- 20 the Court has taken the advisory guidelines into account as an
- 21 initial benchmark or starting point when sentencing in this
- 22 case.
- 23 I have from the government a request to upwardly
- 24 depart or impose an upward variance. I have a request from the
- 25 defendant to vary downward for the reasons stated in their

- 1 papers. And this Court, on March 27th, as I've already
- 2 referred to, filed a notice that I was considering an upward
- 3 departure or variance from the advisory sentencing guidelines.
- 4 First, I want to say that I fully recognize my
- 5 discretion under the new line of Supreme Court cases to depart
- 6 from the sentencing guidelines or vary from the advisory
- 7 sentencing guidelines pursuant to the 3553 factors. So I am
- 8 fully aware of my discretion, and I'm fully aware of the fact
- 9 that the quidelines are just that, they are quidelines which
- 10 are advisory to the Court in formulating a sentence in this
- 11 case.
- 12 The 3553 factors are the nature and circumstances of
- 13 the instant offense, in this case, two offenses, and the
- 14 history and characteristics of the defendant. The sentence
- 15 must reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect
- 16 for law, provide just punishment for the offense, afford
- 17 adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public
- 18 from further crimes of the defendant, provide the defendant
- 19 with needed medical, educational and/or correctional treatment,
- 20 the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparity among
- 21 similarly situated defendants, and the kinds of sentences
- 22 available.
- 23 Clearly the only appropriate sentence in this case is
- 24 a prison sentence.
- 25 The defendant has requested that the Court recommend

- 1 to the Bureau of Prisons that he get psychological counseling
- 2 while he is in the institution, and the Court will so
- 3 recommend. I recognize, as I will more fully explain a little
- 4 later, that Mr. Studabaker has completed a program in Great
- 5 Britain for broadly termed sex offenders, and I fully recognize
- 6 that he has completed this program, but he has asked for
- 7 psychological counseling and sex offender therapeutic treatment
- 8 while he is in the institution. I think that request is well
- 9 founded, and the Court will make such a recommendation to the
- 10 Bureau of Prisons.
- 11 As far as the history and characteristics of this
- 12 defendant, the defendant prior to his involvement in the crimes
- 13 that are the issue of this case, was an honorably discharged
- 14 marine from the United States Marines, had received awards and
- 15 citations while he was in the military. He has no criminal
- 16 history prior to this. He's obviously been convicted in
- 17 Britain, but that is generally part of the episode that brings
- 18 him to this court as well, but up until that time, until his
- 19 involvement with S.P., he had no prior criminal history. The
- 20 Court recognizes that.
- 21 He does have a mental health history of some note,
- 22 the various diagnoses are set forth in Paragraphs 120 and 121
- 23 of the report.
- 24 Paragraph 123 delineates the fact that he had
- 25 completed the sex offender treatment program in Great Britain.

- 1 He has had in the past a substance abuse issue
- 2 involving alcohol, and that also should be addressed, and I'll
- 3 make a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons that substance
- 4 abuse issues, specifically alcohol, or if an evaluation
- 5 requires addressing other substance abuse than that should be
- 6 addressed as well, so I'll make those recommendations to the
- 7 Bureau of Prisons.
- 8 The report is also clear that the death of
- 9 Mr. Studabaker's wife had a major impact on the defendant. I
- 10 take it as true that the death of his wife lapsed him into
- 11 depression and stress, and may have been, the word triggers is
- 12 referred to by Mr. Mekaru in his colloquy with the Court, might
- 13 have been one of the triggers to get him involved in the very
- 14 significant offenses for which he finds himself convicted
- 15 before this Court. He asserts that his interests in
- 16 pornography started after his wife-- after his wife died.
- 17 There is really nothing in the record to show otherwise, so ${\tt I}$
- 18 assume that-- I assume that statement to be true.
- 19 The victim in this case is a very young child, 11
- 20 years old when the first contact was made by the defendant with
- 21 the victim in July of 2002. The victim being a resident of
- 22 Great Britain. At that time, the defendant was 30 years old.
- 23 The contact was made through a website called Neopets and
- 24 through, I gather through reports, cellular telephones, but
- 25 generally the contact was the website from Neopets. From the

- 1 report I conclude that that particular website is used by a
- 2 substantial minority of the total users of the website are
- 3 children under the age of 12.
- 4 Paragraph 30 of the report outlines communication
- 5 began with small talk issues, over time, and while I recognize
- 6 Mr. Denenfeld's objection to the use of the word grooming by
- 7 Mr. Mekaru as it relates to S.P., I think that was precisely
- 8 what was going on here. This defendant was grooming S.P. The
- 9 conversations became more sexually explicit to the point where
- 10 the defendant is sending a message to S.P. talking about
- 11 digital penetration of her. Now, this at this point is a 11 or
- 12 12-year-old girl. You can-- The Court concludes that over the
- 13 period of time there was, by Mr. Studabaker, a systematic
- 14 grooming of S.P. for what ultimately occurred overseas,
- 15 specifically in the country of France, in which the defendant
- 16 sexually penetrated this young girl.
- 17 Immediately after his discharge from the military due
- 18 to injuries, to Mr. Studabaker's credit, he has, as I've said
- 19 before, an honorably discharged marine, but regretfully and
- 20 tragically, immediately after his discharge, he started to
- 21 execute what I think was his plan-- I conclude that from the
- 22 report -- was his plan to have a sexual encounter with S.P.
- 23 overseas. He engages in travel arrangements to meet S.P. in
- 24 England with planned travel to France. He has contact with
- 25 travel agents where he describes S.P. as his niece, as being 12

- 1 years old. There is a ruse with the travel agent to get her
- 2 passport number. He sends S.P. money, \$150 of money order, all
- 3 obviously outside the knowledge of S.P.'s parents. He meets
- 4 S.P. in Great Britain and then they fly to France in which
- 5 results in a sexual act performed by Mr. Studabaker on this
- 6 12-year-old girl in the country of France. He takes the child
- 7 to Germany, and at that point, his crimes have become a matter
- 8 of international press treatment. He, to his credit, he does
- 9 put S.P. back on a plane to England, back to her parents, and
- 10 he surrenders to authorities in Germany.
- 11 There are also, in addition to the sophisticated
- 12 planning that I've already laid out on the record, there were
- 13 other interesting points here of sophistication in terms of
- 14 Mr. Studabaker not being wanted to be-- wanting to be
- 15 apprehended by the authorities, and secreting of this young
- 16 person by the purchase and use of hair dye for both he and S.P.
- 17 to disguise their physical appearances to make it less likely
- 18 that they are going to be apprehended by authorities. His
- 19 first reaction is to lie to the German authorities about his-
- 20 about S.P.'s age, describing her as being 18 or 19 which, of
- 21 course, that's on Page 41 of the report and, of course, she is
- 22 significantly younger than that.
- 23 That captures, I think, a significant portion of the
- 24 nature and circumstances of this offense, but I don't think it
- 25 captures -- the letter captures it very well, but I don't think

- 1 it captures another significant harm that occurred in this
- 2 case. And that is the utter terror of S.P.'s parents when they
- 3 realized that their 12-year-old daughter is gone, and they
- 4 discover that she has been in internet communication with this
- 5 defendant. For approximately four days, her parents did not
- 6 know her whereabouts. They had no idea where their 12-year-old
- 7 daughter was or her fate. They didn't know whether she was
- 8 alive or dead.
- 9 Now, fortunately for her parents, it was only four
- 10 days. But the quidelines, in the Court's judgment, do not
- 11 adequately take into account that portion of the nature of this
- 12 heinous crime. And their letter, which is part of the record
- 13 here, says it all; total panic, complete fear, physically
- 14 sick. S.P.'s mother had feelings of guilt for not protecting
- 15 her own daughter. And most poignantly, "We can never go back."
- 16 It seems to me that those harms that the defendant inflicted
- 17 on S.P.'s parents in this abduction are nowhere calculated in
- 18 the guidelines adequately, which is one of the reasons why the
- 19 Court intends to upwardly depart from the guidelines.
- 20 The Court also notes that I do not believe that a
- 21 two-level enhancement pursuant to 2A3.2(b)(2)(B), under the
- 22 2003 version of the quidelines, which is presently under those
- 23 guidelines a two-level enhancement, that that in the Court's
- 24 judgment does not adequately reflect the seriousness of the
- 25 conduct in this case. So I intend to upwardly depart for those

- 1 reasons as well.
- 2 As far as the possession of child pornography is
- 3 concerned, the defendant has 706 child pornography images.
- 4 There were 56 movies which depict sexual activity between
- 5 adults and minors. This crime implicates another set of
- 6 victims. I note that the defendant denied that he downloaded
- 7 these images. I don't believe him. I just do not believe
- 8 him. The conduct that was the subject matter of the instant
- 9 offenses and the child pornography on his computer, in my
- 10 judgment, is linked, and I don't believe his assertion that he
- 11 is not responsible for those images being on his computer. I
- 12 don't believe that for one nanosecond.
- 13 The Court wants to associate itself with the comments
- 14 made by the Third Circuit in United States vs Goff, it's a 2007
- 15 Court of Appeals case from the Third Circuit, which among other
- 16 statements says, "Consumers of child pornography create a
- 17 market, thereby providing economic motive for creating and
- 18 distributing materials." And creating the market is the use of
- 19 young children to produce these dastardly materials.
- I have very significant concerns regarding
- 21 Mr. Studabaker's recidivism potential. He says he wants to
- 22 address it. I hope he is sincere. I saw him get emotional
- 23 while he was at the podium. I believe him when he says he does
- 24 not want to recidivate. But I think the statement in Paragraph
- 25 66 of the report in which he denies that he has been attracted

- 1 to minors other than S.P. is simply not true, it's not
- 2 credible, and in the Court's judgment, it increases
- 3 significantly, at least at this point when I'm sentencing him,
- 4 on April 21st of the year 2008, causes the Court to have
- 5 significant concerns about recidivism.
- 6 And I recognize Mr. Denenfeld's objections to some of
- 7 Mr. Mekaru's statements about possibly and may, and Mr.
- 8 Denenfeld is right on as far as that is concerned, but on the
- 9 other hand, I think the mother of the girl in Australia and her
- 10 description in the paragraphs that I referred to during the
- 11 colloquy with counsel are clearly an indication that he was
- 12 going through the same process with this girl in Australia that
- 13 he was going through with S.P. Fortunately for the girl in
- 14 Australia, she had more parental control and awareness of what
- 15 was going on. And I appreciate Mr. Denenfeld's comment in
- 16 representing Mr. Studabaker, that they still communicate -- they
- 17 sent Mr. Studabaker a Christmas card or there was some exchange
- 18 during Christmas. Frankly, I think that stems more from a lack
- 19 of understanding by the parent of what was going on here than a
- 20 sincere desire to want to communicate with Mr. Studabaker,
- 21 because I think if they fully understood the breadth of
- 22 seriousness of this case, they wouldn't have sent
- 23 Mr. Studabaker any gifts.
- As far as the references to the uncharged conduct in
- other counties of-- the St. Joe County case has been referred

- to, the contact with Newaygo County youngsters has been
- 2 referred to, I have disregarded that. I just-- I think
- 3 Mr. Denenfeld's point is well taken, that the evidentiary
- 4 impact of that material is not sufficient for this Court to
- 5 base its decision on those matters. But I do think that the
- 6 contact with the girl in Australia does have some impact on
- 7 this case, and quite appropriately so, given the nature of that
- 8 girl's mother's statements, and I have considered that. But
- 9 all the other stuff, including the most recent revelations from
- 10 Kalamazoo County, and I appreciate that came under the category
- 11 of breaking news, and the government and Mr. Denenfeld were
- 12 dealing with information that was coming at them very quickly
- 13 and very close to the sentencing date here, but the ambiguity
- 14 of that relationship with the individuals who have been named
- 15 coming out of the Kalamazoo County sheriff's report, again, I
- 16 think is material that doesn't rise to the level in which it is
- 17 appropriately considered by me for purposes of this sentencing
- 18 of Mr. Studabaker.
- 19 However, I do believe that there is reason for
- 20 departure upward of two levels for the fact under
- 21 2A3.2(b)(2)(B), in that I don't think the 2003 version of the
- 22 Guidelines appropriately takes into consideration the nature of
- 23 the undue influence of the defendant over the minor victim, by
- 24 that I mean S.P. In addition to that, the victimization of the
- 25 parents is extraordinary in this case, and in the Court's

- 1 judgment is not addressed adequately by the guidelines, so I
- 2 intend to depart upward one level for that. And likewise, I
- 3 believe that for the reasons I've already stated, that a
- 4 combination of the need to protect Mr. Studabaker from further
- 5 crimes and victimizing further individuals, as well as taking
- 6 adequately into account the deterrence of others calls for
- 7 combined an additional two levels. So the Court intends to
- 8 depart up five levels on Count One. I do not intend to depart
- 9 on Count Two, that being the child pornography count, but I am
- 10 going to depart upward five levels, which results in a
- 11 guideline range of 135 to 168 months, which leaves me with the
- 12 point well taken by Mr. Denenfeld that the defendant has served
- 13 some period of time in Great Britain for conduct which is
- 14 addressed in the count of conviction in Count One of 03-291.
- 15 And I think that calls for some reduction from the upper level
- 16 of the guideline range because, if that factor had not been
- 17 taken into account, I would have sentenced at the upper end of
- 18 that quideline range of 135 to 168, but I will move to the
- 19 middle of that guideline range to account for the fact that
- 20 Mr. Studabaker has served time in prison in Great Britain.
- 21 Accordingly, for all those reasons, it's the judgment
- 22 of the Court that the defendant, Toby t. Studabaker, hereby
- 23 committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be
- 24 imprisoned for a term of 144 months in Case No. 03-291, and a
- 25 term of 87 months, at the top of the quideline range, in Case

- 1 No. 07-267. Those sentences are to be served concurrently.
- 2 Upon release from prison, the defendant shall be
- 3 placed on supervised release for a term of 60 months in both
- 4 cases, to be served concurrently. Within 72 hours of release
- 5 from custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the defendant shall
- 6 report in person to the probation office in the district to
- 7 which the defendant is released.
- 8 While on supervised release, the defendant shall
- 9 comply with the mandatory and standard conditions of
- 10 supervision including: DNA collection, drug testing, sex
- 11 offender registration. He is not to be in possession of any
- 12 firearms, destructive devices or dangerous weapons.
- 13 The following special conditions of supervised
- 14 release are also ordered:
- 15 The defendant shall provide the probation officer
- 16 with access to any requested financial information.
- 17 The defendant shall participate in a program of
- 18 testing and treatment for substance abuse, as directed by his
- 19 probation officer, until such time as the defendant is released
- 20 from the program by his probation officer, and shall pay at
- 21 least a portion of the cost according to his ability as
- 22 determined by his probation officer.
- 23 The defendant shall refrain from all use of alcoholic
- 24 beverages.
- The defendant shall participate in a program of

- 1 mental health treatment for sex offenders, as directed by his
- 2 probation officer, until such time as the defendant is released
- 3 from the program by his probation officer, and shall pay at
- 4 least a portion of the cost according to his ability as
- 5 determined by his probation officer.
- 6 The defendant shall refrain from frequenting
- 7 locations where minors gather.
- 8 The defendant shall not possess a computer system or
- 9 access to any Internet, including Web TV or similar services,
- 10 without the permission of his probation officer.
- 11 The defendant shall not possess pornographic
- 12 materials, patronize establishments where such materials are
- 13 sold, or possess any materials promoting the normalization of
- 14 criminal behavior.
- 15 The defendant shall not associate with persons under
- 16 the age of 18, except in the presence of responsible adult who
- 17 is aware of the defendant's backgrounds and current offense and
- 18 who has been approved by the probation officer.
- 19 The defendant shall report to the probation officer
- 20 all visits with relatives or friends who have minor children.
- 21 The defendant shall not possess or publicly display
- 22 any materials that may be viewed as lures for minors, as
- 23 determined by his probation officer.
- 24 The defendant shall refrain from accepting or seeking
- 25 civic, religious, or other voluntary positions where he would

- 1 be in a position of authority or have influence over others,
- 2 including minors and their families.
- 3 The defendant shall be required to provide a detailed
- 4 itinerary of vacations and leisure activities, including all
- 5 persons with whom he interacted or-- with whom he interacted or
- 6 had contact.
- 7 The special assessment in each case of \$100 is
- 8 assessed, for a total of \$200, which shall be due immediately.
- 9 I also want to add a prohibition on cell phones. The
- 10 defendant shall not be in possession of a cell phone, without
- 11 the permission of his probation officer. If he does have a
- 12 cell phone, with permission of his probation officer, it shall
- 13 be in his name and he shall give the probation officer access
- 14 to the account and the history on the account.
- 15 The Court is not going to order a fine here. I find
- 16 that he does not have the ability to pay a fine.
- 17 And I believe that concludes the recommendations for
- 18 supervised release.
- 19 If it's not already clear from the record, I have
- 20 considered all of the 3553 factors. I think the thing-- the
- 21 sentences that I have imposed reflect the gravity and very
- 22 serious nature of these offenses, promote respect for the law,
- 23 provide adequate deterrence both to Mr. Studabaker and to
- 24 others, and for the reasons I've stated, protect people from
- 25 further crimes of the defendant, based on the Court's very

- 1 strong concern that at this point Mr. Studabaker has a
- 2 significant threat of recidivating.
- 3 Mr. Denenfeld, any recommendations to the Bureau of
- 4 Prisons that you wish me to add which I have not covered?
- 5 MR. DENENFELD: No, your Honor.
- 6 Your Honor, I want to make sure that the calculation
- 7 is correct. I thought we started with an offense level of 27.
- 8 THE COURT: Right.
- 9 MR. DENENFELD: You upwardly departed five levels to
- 10 32, and if that's correct, my chart indicates the range of 121
- 11 to 151, not 135 to 168.
- 12 THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Mekaru Did I misread
- 13 it?
- 14 MR. MEKARU: I think Mr. Denenfeld is correct, your
- 15 Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, then I
- 17 misspoke. I intended to go to the middle of the range, so what
- 18 is the new one, 121?
- 19 MR. DENENFELD: 121 to 151.
- THE COURT: 151, so half of that would be-- the
- 21 difference is 30, so it would be 136, I apologize. 136 on
- 22 Count One, not 144.
- Thank you for the correction.
- MR. DENENFELD: Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Denenfeld. I don't know

- 1 how that happened, but I thank you for your correction.
- 2 Any legal objections to the sentence imposed, sir,
- 3 that have not already been placed on the record?
- 4 MR. DENENFELD: Your Honor, I admit I try to keep up
- 5 with the Sixth Circuit law, and I'm not sure I am, but I know
- 6 that this Vowell case Mr. Mekaru cited requires me to object,
- 7 and so at this time, I guess, I would object to the upward
- 8 variance and departure as being procedurally and substantially
- 9 unreasonable. I say that only because I think that covers the
- 10 basis for appeal.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 THE COURT: Mr. Mekaru, any objection?
- 13 MR. MEKARU: Just I'm not quite certain about the
- 14 term of supervised release, and I just wanted to make certain
- 15 that the Court-- I know the recommendation and the statutory
- 16 provision on the back indicates the cap would be five years,
- 17 but, your Honor, it's my recollection under the Protect Act in
- 18 April, 2003, that it changed 3583 to allow a term of supervised
- 19 release to any term of years up to life. The Adam Walsh Act
- 20 required there be a mandatory minimum of five years up to
- 21 life. So I wasn't certain if the Court found bound and capped
- 22 by the five years by statute or if the Court was of a mind to
- 23 impose something greater, and that would be again, your Honor--
- 24 I forgot to bring the 2003 edition, but it is my recollection
- 25 that was the change of 3583 to allow up to life, and that's

- 1 what I've been-- that was in the plea agreement originally and
- 2 that was operating under that assumption.
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Well, I appreciate that,
- 4 Mr. Mekaru, but I'm going to order five years.
- 5 MR. MEKARU: Yes, sir.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay.
- 7 MR. MEKARU: And regarding your question, no
- 8 objection.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. Any counts to be dismissed?
- 10 MR. MEKARU: Yes, your Honor. With respect to the
- 11 charge in Michigan, we move for dismissal of Counts Two, Three
- 12 and Four.
- 13 THE COURT: So ordered.
- 14 MR. MEKARU: With respect to the charges in North
- 15 Carolina, move for dismissal of Counts One and Three, and that
- 16 we are foregoing any sort of forfeiture action.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. That motion is granted as
- well.
- 19 All right. Anything further before I give
- 20 Mr. Studabaker his appellate rights?
- 21 MR. DENENFELD: Not on behalf of the defense. Thank
- 22 you, your Honor.
- MR. MEKARU: No, your Honor. Thank you.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Studabaker, I advise you,
- 25 sir, you can appeal your conviction, if you believe that your

- 1 quilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or if there is
- 2 some other fundamental defect in proceeding not waived by your
- 3 guilty plea. You also have a statutory right to appeal your
- 4 sentence under certain circumstances, particularly if you think
- 5 the sentence is contrary to law.
- 6 However, a defendant may waive those rights as part
- 7 of a plea agreement, and you have entered into a plea agreement
- 8 which waives some of your rights to appeal, although I think
- 9 that was for a sentence within the quideline range, if I'm not
- 10 mistaken, so to the extent that I've upwardly departed, you
- 11 have preserved your right to appeal that. Such waivers are
- 12 generally enforceable, but if you believe the waiver is
- 13 unenforceable, you can present that argument to the appellate
- 14 court.
- 15 You have the right to apply for leave to appeal in
- 16 forma pauperis, that is, if you are poor. If you wish to do
- 17 so, with few exceptions, you need to file the documents for
- 18 which your attorney has acknowledged receipt on your behalf,
- 19 within ten days of the entry of the judgment in this case. If
- 20 you file the documents, the Clerk of the Court will prepare and
- 21 file a Notice of Appeal to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals
- 22 on your behalf.
- 23 Is there anything further before I remand the
- 24 defendant, Mr. Mekaru?
- MR. MEKARU: No, your Honor. Thank you.

55

1	THE COURT: Mr. Denenfeld?
2	MR. DENENFELD: Not on behalf of the defendant.
3	Thank you, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Defendant is remanded to the marshal for
5	execution of sentence.
6	COURT CLERK: All rise, please.
7	Court is adjourned.
8	(at 4:46 p.m., proceedings were adjourned.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

56

1	
2	
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	T. Wathland G. Mhamar Official Growt Danaston for
6	I, Kathleen S. Thomas, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title
7	28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
8	proceedings had in the within-entitled and numbered cause on the date hereinbefore set forth; and I do further
9	certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.
10	
11	I certify that the foregoing is a rue and correct copy of the transcript originally filed with the clerk of court on 9/23/08, and incorporating redactions of personal
12	identifiers requested by the following attorneys of record: Daniel Y. Mekaru in accordance with Judicial
13	Conference policy. Redacted characters appear as initials replacing the minor's name in the transcript.
14	
15	
16	/s/
17	Kathleen S. Thomas, CSR-1300, RPR U.S. District Court Reporter
18	410 West Michigan Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	